

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/797,832	03/09/2004	Christopher Goode	SEDN/121CON	5683	
	7590 12/03/2008 & SHERIDAN, LLP/	EXAM	EXAMINER		
SEDNA PATE	NT SERVICES, LLC	PENG, FRED H			
SUITE 100	BURY AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SHREWSBUR	Y, NJ 07702		2426		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/03/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/797,832	GOODE, CHRISTOPHER		
Examiner	Art Unit		
FRED PENG	2426		

	FRED PENG	2426			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 20 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date					
o) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	n).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, the state of the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the state of the proposed amendment (s) in the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a filed after a</li></ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)       how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
13. Other:					
A final Colorate of					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The double patenting rejections for Claims 1 and 2 are still pending while the Appicant's arguments about 102 rejection of Claim 1 appears to be persuasive.